



# UNITED STAILS DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trad mark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/504,813 02/16/00 GOTO 8 P99,2486 **EXAMINER** 026263 IM52/0820 SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL PAPER NUMBER P.O. BOX 061080 **ART UNIT** WACKER DRIVE STATION CHICAGO IL 60606-1080 1745 DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

08/20/01

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

PTO-90C (Rev. 2/95)
\*U.S. GPO: 2000-473-000/44602

	هـ ا					
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/504,8	13	GOTO ET AL.		
	Offic Action Summary	Examiner	•	Art Unit		
			S. Crepeau	1745		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 February 2000.					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ 7	This action is	non-final.			
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) 🗌	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	1.☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
* 9	<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment	-		,			
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	··		(PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT		

Application/Control Number: 09/504,813

Art Unit: 1745

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

- Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 6, "an" should be "a". Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Narang et al (U.S. Patent 6,168,885).

In Figure 1, the reference generally teaches a process for making a battery comprising the steps of coating a negative electrode with electrolyte (26), coating a positive electrode with electrolyte (36), and laminating the two electrode/electrolyte sheets together under heat (42) so as to form a single, continuous electrolyte. In column 10, lines 42-55, the reference teaches that the solid polymer electrolyte contains a plasticizer (swelling solvent). In column 11, lines 4-12, it is further taught that the electrolyte is gelled.



Application/Control Number: 09/504,813

Art Unit: 1745

The reference does not expressly teach that the electrode/electrolyte sheets are wound in the lengthwise direction of the sheets (i.e., that the laminate is spirally-wound), that the laminate is packaged in a packaging film, or that terminals are welded to the electrodes.

However, the invention as a whole would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made because the artisan would be motivated to incorporate each of these features/steps into the battery of Narang et al. It is first noted that Narang et al. teach in column 3, line 17 that "[o]ften, the various cells are spiral wound before being provided with a protective coating." Although this teaching appears in the discussion of the prior art, it is still considered to give the artisan sufficient motivation to make the cell of the reference a spirally-wound cell, since the spirally-wound design is known to increase the energy density of a battery by allowing a greater amount of active material in a particular volume. Accordingly, this limitation is not considered to distinguish over the reference.

Regarding the limitation that the laminate is packaged in a packaging film, the reference discloses in column 11, line 11 that "[s]ealing step 44 and charging step 46 may be conventional." Therefore, since the step of sealing a battery cell in a packaging film is conventional in the lithium-polymer battery art and provides advantages such as good sealing and light weight, the artisan would be motivated to use such a film to carry out the sealing step of the reference.

Regarding the limitation that terminals are welded to the electrodes, this is a conventional configuration and must be present in order to obtain a workable battery. Accordingly, this limitation is also not considered to distinguish over the reference.

Art Unit: 1745

#### Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Jensen et al., U.S. Patent 5,700,300.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan Crepeau whose telephone number is (703) 305-0051. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gabrielle Brouillette, can be reached at (703) 308-0756. The phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-5900. Additionally, documents may be faxed to (703) 305-3599.

Any inquiry of general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

**JSC** 

August 16, 2001

GABRIELLE BROUILLETTE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Bruillette